IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

FILED-	OLERK
US. DISTR	ICT COURT
04 JUN 21	PM 3: 06

TX EASTERM-MARSHALL

Compression Labs, Incorporated,))
Dlaintiff	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
Plaintiff,) C A No. 2.04cv150
v.) C.A. No. 2:04cv158
1. Agfa Corporation,) Judge Folsom
2. Apple Computer, Incorporated,) Judge 1 0130111
3. Axis Communications, Incorporated,) JURY
4. Canon, USA, Incorporated,) 30K1
4. Canon, OSA, meorporated,)
5. Concord Camera Corporation,)
6. Creative Labs, Incorporated,	
7. Eastman Kodak Company,)
8. Fuji Photo Film U.S.A.,)
9. Fujitsu Computer Products of America,)
10. Gateway, Incorporated,)
11. Hewlett-Packard Company,)
12. JASC Software,)
13. JVC Americas Corporation,	
14. Kyocera Wireless Corporation,)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)
15. Macromedia, Incorporated,) FIDST AMENDED COMPLAINT
16. Matsushita Electric Corporation of America,) FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
17. Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America,	
Incorporated,)
18. Océ North America, Incorporated,	
19. Onkyo U.S.A. Corporation,)
20. PalmOne, Incorporated,21. Panasonic Communications Corporation)
of America, 22. Panasonic Mobile Communications	
Development Corporation of USA,	
23. Ricoh Corporation,)
24. Riverdeep, Incorporated (d.b.a.)
Broderbund),)
25. Savin Corporation,)
26. Thomson, Incorporated)
27. Xerox Corporation,)
$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$)
Defendants.)

Compression Labs, Inc. ("CLI") for its Complaint against Defendants alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a patent infringement action to stop each Defendant's unauthorized and infringing sale, offers to sell, use and importation of products incorporating CLI's patented technology. CLI seeks injunctive relief to stop Defendants from continuing to infringe CLI's valuable patent rights, as well as monetary damages.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, CLI, is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 108 Wild Basin Drive, Austin, TX 78746.
- 3. Defendant Agfa Corporation is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 100 Challenger Road, Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660.
- 4. Defendant Apple Computer, Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of California and has its principal place of business at 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.
- 5. Defendant Axis Communications, Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Massachusetts and has its principal place of business at 100 Apollo Drive, Chelmsford, MA 01824.
- 6. Defendant Canon, U.S.A., Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of New York and has its principal place of business at One Canon Plaza, Lake Success, New York, NY 11042.
- 7. Defendant Concord Camera Corporation is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of New Jersey and has its principal place of business at 4000 Hollywood Boulevard, Suite 650N, Hollywood, FL 33021.
- 8. Defendant Creative Labs, Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of California and has its principal place of business at 1901 McCarthy Boulevard, Milpitas, CA 95035.

- 9. Defendant Eastman Kodak Company is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of New Jersey and has its principal place of business at 343 State Street, Rochester, NY 14650.
- 10. Defendant Fuji Photo Film U.S.A. is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of New York and has a place of business at 200 Summit Lake Drive, Valhalla, NY 10595.
- 11. Defendant Fujitsu Computer Products of America is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of California and has its principal place of business at 2904 Orchard Parkway, San Jose, CA 95134.
- 12. Defendant Gateway, Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 14303 Gateway Place, Poway, CA 92064.
- 13. Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304.
- 14. Defendant JASC Software is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Minnesota and has its principal place of business at 7905 Fuller Road, Eden Prairie, MN 55344.
- 15. Defendant JVC Americas Corporation is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 1700 Valley Road, Suite 1, Wayne, NJ 07470.
- 16. Defendant Kyocera Wireless Corporation is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 10300 Campus Point Drive, San Diego, CA 92121.
- 17. Defendant Macromedia, Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 600 Townsend Street, San Francisco, CA 94103.

- 18. Defendant Matsushita Electric Corporation of America is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 1 Panasonic Way, Secaucus, NJ 07094.
- 19. Defendant Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America, Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 9351 Jeronimo Road, Irvine, CA 92618.
- 20. Defendant Océ North America, Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 5450 North Cumberland Avenue, Chicago, IL 60656.
- 21. Defendant Onkyo U.S.A. Corporation is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of New Jersey and has its principal place of business at 18 Parkway, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458.
- 22. Defendant PalmOne, Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 400 North McCarthy Boulevard, Milpitas, CA 95035.
- 23. Defendant Panasonic Communications Corporation of America is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 7625 Panasonic Way, San Diego, CA 92154.
- 24. Defendant Panasonic Mobile Communications Development Corporation of USA is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 1225 Northbrook Parkway, Suite 2-352, Suwanee, GA 30024.
- 25. Defendant Ricoh Corporation is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 5 Dedrick Place, West Caldwell, NJ 07006.
- 26. Defendant Riverdeep, Incorporated (d.b.a. Broderbund) is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 500 Redwood Boulevard, Novato, CA 94947.

- 27. Defendant Savin Corporation is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 333 Ludlow Street, Stamford, CT 06902.
- 28. Defendant Thomson, Incorporated is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business at 10330 North Meridian Street, Indianapolis, IN 46290.
- 29. Defendant Xerox Corporation is a corporation existing and organized under the laws of New York and has its principal place of business at 800 Long Ridge Road, Stamford, CT 06904.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 30. This action for patent infringement arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 et seq., and in particular 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284 and 285. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. 1338(a).
- 31. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each of the Defendants and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400.

COUNT 1 – PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 32. This case involves technology used to process digital signals. CLI owns patents relating to such technology, and CLI invested substantial amounts in related research and development.
- 33. United States Patent No. 4,698,672 entitled "Coding System for Reducing Redundancy" (hereinafter "the '672 patent"), was duly and legally issued on October 6, 1987. CLI is an owner of the '672 patent and, pursuant to an agreement with its co-owner, has the exclusive rights to sue and recover for infringement thereof with respect to the Accused Devices, as hereinafter defined. A copy of the '672 patent is attached as Exhibit A.
- 34. Defendants offer to sell, sell, use, and/or import into the United States devices, at least portions of which are designed to be at least partly compliant with the JPEG standard as defined by CCITT Recommendation T.81 approved on September 18, 1992, entitled

"Information Technology—Digital Compression and Coding of Continuous Tone Still Images—Requirements and Guidelines," the identical text of which is also published as ISO/IEC International Standard 10918-1, or with any version or variance thereof defining a lossy compression scheme (hereinafter referred to as "the Accused Devices"). The Accused Devices are covered by, and carry out methods that are covered by, one or more claims of the '672 patent.

- 35. Through their actions including offering to sell, selling, using and importing the Accused Devices, Defendants have infringed the aforementioned patent and actively induced others to infringe and contributed to the infringement by others of the '672 patent in the United States, including within the jurisdiction of this Court. Certain of the Defendants also have made the Accused Devices in the United States, and such Defendants have also infringed the aforementioned patent by their actions in this regard.
- 36. CLI is likely to be irreparably harmed by Defendants' infringement, inducement of others to infringe, and contributory infringement of the '672 patent. CLI has no adequate remedy at law.

WHEREFORE, CLI prays for judgment that:

- A. United States Patent No. 4,698,672 has been infringed, directly, by inducement, and/or contributorily, by each Defendant;
- B. Each Defendant, its officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from the direct or contributory infringement of, and from inducing others to infringe, United States Patent No. 4,698,672;
- C. CLI be awarded damages sufficient to compensate it for each Defendant's infringement, contributory infringement and inducement of others to infringe, that such damages

be increased to three times the amount found or assessed pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, and that such damages be awarded to CLI with prejudgment interest:

- That this case be declared exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and that CLI D. be awarded its attorney fees, costs and expenses in this action; and
 - Ε. CLI be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem just.

CLI DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY.

Respectfully submitted. Compression Labs, Inc.

Dated: June 21, 2004

Stephen G. Rudisill (attorney-in-charge)

Illinois Bar No.: 2417049 Texas Bar No.: 17376050 srudisill@jenkens.com

John C. Gatz

Illinois Bar No.: 6237140 igatz@jenkens.com

Russell J. Genet

Illinois Bar No.:6255982 rgenet @jenkens.com

Justin D. Swindells

Illinois Bar No.: 6257291 jswindells@jenkens.com

JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C.

225 West Washington Street, Suite 2600

Chicago, Illinois 60606 Tel. (312) 425-3900

Fax (312) 425-3909

S. Calvin Capshaw III

Texas Bar No.: 03783900 ccapshaw@mailbmc.com

BROWN, McCARROLL, L.L.P. 1127 Judson Road, Suite 220

Longview, Texas 75601-5157

Tel. (903) 236-9800

(903) 236-8787 Fax.

Franklin Jones, Jr.

Texas Bar No.: 00000055 maiezieh@millerfirm.com

JONES & JONES, Inc., P.C.

201 West Houston Street (75670)

P.O. Drawer 1249

Marshall, Texas 75670-1249

Tel. (903) 938-4395

Fax. (903) 938-3360

Otis Carroll

Texas Bar No.: 03895700

Jack Wesley Hill

Texas Bar No.: 24032294 nancy@icklaw.com

IRELAND, CARROLL & KELLEY, P.C.

6101 South Broadway, Suite 500

Tyler, Texas 75703

Tel. (903) 561-1600

Fax. (903) 581-1071

Carl R. Roth

Texas Bar No.: 17312000

cr@rothfirm.com

Michael C. Smith

Texas Bar No.: 18650410

ms@rothfirm.com

THE ROTH LAW FIRM

115 North Wellington, Suite 200

P.O. Box 876

Marshall, Texas 75670

Tel. (903) 935-1665

Fax (903) 935-1797

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the <u>3/5</u>†day of June, 2004, a true and correct copy of the foregoing FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT was deposited in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and addressed to the following counsel of record:

Adobe Systems, Incorporated Robert T. Halsam Heller Ehrman White & McAuliffe LLP 275 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, California 94025-3506	Creative Labs, Incorporated Attention: Legal Department 1901 McCarthy Boulevard Milpitas, California 95035
Agfa Corporation Attention: Legal Department 100 Challenger Road Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660	Eastman Kodak Company Nicholas H. Patton Robert W. Schroeder, III Patton, Tidwell & Schroeder, LLP 4605 Texas Boulevard P.O. Box 5398 Texarkana, Texas 75505
Apple Computer Incorporated Herschel Tracy Crawford Eric H. Findlay Ramey & Flock 100 East Ferguson, Suite 500 Tyler, Texas 75702 Axis Communications, Incorporated	Fuji Photo Film U.S.A. Attention: Legal Department 200 Summit Lake Drive Valhalla, New York 10595 Fujitsu Computer Products of America
Barry W. Graham Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3315	Michael M. Murray Christopher Chalsen Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP 1 Chase Manhattan Plaza New York, New York 10005-1413
Canon U.S.A., Incorporated Attention: Legal Department One Canon Plaza, Lake Success New York, New York 10042	Gateway, Incorporated W. Bryan Farney Darryl J. Adams Dewey Ballantine LLP 401 Congress Avenue Suite 3200 Austin, Texas 78701-2478
Concord Camera Corporation Kerry Sisselman 400 Hollywood Boulevard Suite 659 North Hollywood, Florida 33021	Hewlett-Packard Company Sean C. Cunningham Bruce Watrous Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich LLP 401 B Street, Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101-4240

TACC C-C	
JASC Software	Matsushita Electric Corporation of America
Deakin T. Lauer	Morton Amster
Merchant & Gould PC	Abraham Kasdan
3200 IDS Center	Joseph Casino
80 South Eighth Street	Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein, LLP
Minneapolis, Minneapolis 55402	90 Park Avenue
T 111 - 7 00 7	New York, New York 10016
Franklin A. Poff, Jr.	
Crisp, Boyd & Poff, LLP	Eric M. Albritton
2301 Moores Lane	Albritton Law Firm
P.O. Box 6297	P.O. Box 2649
Texarkana, Texas 75505	Longview, Texas 75606-2649
JVC Americas Corporation	Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America,
Attention: Legal Department	Incorporated
1700 Valley Road, Suite 1	Attention: Legal Department
Wayne, New Jersey 07470	9351 Jeronimo Road
	Irvine, California 92618
Kyocera Wireless Corporation	Oce North America, Incorporated
Jennifer P. Ainsworth	Frederick H. Colen
Wilson, Sheehy, Knowles, Robertson &	Reed Smith LLP
Cornelius, P.C.	435 Sixth Avenue
315 East Fifth Street	Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Tyler, Texas 75701	S, 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
	Clyde Siebman
	Siebman Reynolds Burg & Phillips, LLP
	Federal Courthouse Square
	300 N. Travis St.
	Sherman, Texas 75090
Macromedia Incorporated	Onkyo USA Corporation
Charlene Morrow	Robert F. Zielinski
Fenwick & West LLP	Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP
801 California Street	1650 Arch Street, 22 nd Floor
Mountainview, California 94041	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	i iniccorpina, i cinisyivama 17103
Harry Lee Gillam, Jr.	Barry J. Bendes
Melissa Smith	Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP
Gillam & Smith LLP	250 Park Avenue
110 South Bolivar Street	New York, New York 10177
Suite 204	Total Total Total Total
Marshall, Texas 75670	
TITTO THE TOTAL	